



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/616735	07/15/03	Mori	240199 US8

EXAMINER
NITIN PAREKH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2811	5

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bradley Little

(3) Naomi Nishibe

(2) Andrew Harry

(4) Nitin Parekh

Date of Interview 04-14-05

Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description:

Agreement:  was reached.  was not reached.

Claims discussed: Independent claim 1 & other dependent claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Kojima et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney discussed amendments to overcome previous rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Nitin Parekh

04/14/05